

IOWA CITY AREA TRANSIT STUDY

April 2021





This page is intentionally left blank.

Cover photo source: Nelson\Nygaard



Table of Contents

		Page
1	Executive Summary	1-1
2	Introduction	2-1
	Project Goals	
	Report Organization	
3	Plan Review	3-1
	Key Findings	3-1
	Plan Review	3-2
4	Market Analysis	4-1
	Key Findings	4-2
	Analysis Indicators	4-2
5	Transit in Iowa City	5-1
	Key Findings	5-1
6	Route Profiles	6-1
	CAMBUS	6-8
	East Campus Shuttle	6-10
	Hawk Lot/Hospital	6-11
	Hawkeye Express	6-12
	Hawkeye Interdorm	6-13
	Hawkeye-Hospital	6-14
	Hospital Finkbine/Arena	6-15
	Hospital via Hancher	6-16
	Interdorm	6-17
	Mayflower Shuttle	6-18
	North Hospital Shuttle	6-19
	Pentacrest	6-20
	Blue Route	6-21
	Red Route	6-22
	Research Park	
	Coralville Transit	6-24
	10th Street	6-25
	1 st Ave	6-26
	AM Express	6-27
	Express	6-28
	Lantern Park	6-29
	Night and Saturday	6-30
	North Liberty	
	lowa City Transit	
	7 th Avenue	
	Broad way	
	Court Hill	
	Cross Park	
	Eastside Express	
	Eastside Loop	
	Lakeside	
	Mall	
	Manville Heights	
	Melrose Express	6-43



North Dodge......6-44

		ttle	
	Dakcres	t	6-46
-		ew	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		r	
		st	
	•	t Plaza	
		e Hospital	
		ds	
7 S	cenario	Development	7- 1
		ion	
Т	hree Sc	enarios with Different Priorities	<i>7</i> -1
8 (Outreac	h Summary	8-1
		per 2019 Onboard Survey	
		nter 2019 Design Your Own System Survey	
١	lovemb	er 2019 Outreach	8-43
J	anuary	2020 Outreach – Feedback on Scenarios	8-53
V	Winter/	Spring 2020 Online Survey	8-57
9 P	referre	d Alternative	9-1
	Overvie ^s	W	9-1
	CAMBUS)	9-2
	Coralvill	e Transit	9-9
lo	owa Cit	y Transit	9-14
10 T	ransit I	nfrastructure and Zero-Emissions Transition Considerations	10-1
		ion	
		S	
	Speed & Reliability		
	Zero-Emissions Vehicles		
11 V	ision f	or Transit	11-1
-		ion	
		on for Transit	
		of the Vision for Transit	
		imates by Agency	
		, ,	
Tabl	e of F	igures	
			Page
Figure	1-1	CAMBUS Preferred Alternative System Map	
Figure	1-2	Coralville Transit Preferred Alternative System Map	1-4
Figure	1-3	Iowa City Transit Preferred Alternative System Map	1 - 5

Figure 4-1 Figure 4-2

Figure 4-3

Figure 4-4

Figure 4-5 Figure 4-6 Market Analysis Indicators.......4-1

Population Density.......4-2

Density of Occupied Rental Units......4-3

College-Aged Youth Density.......4-6



Figure 4-7	Low-Income People Density	4-7
Figure 4-8	Density of People of Color	4-8
Figure 4-9	Job Density	4-9
Figure 4-10	Low-Income Job Density	4-10
Figure 4-11	Transit Propensity Index	4-11
Figure 5-1	Annual Unlinked Passenger Trips by Agency, 2012-2017	5-2
Figure 5-2	Annual Revenue Hours by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-3	Passenger Trips per Revenue Hour by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-4	Annual Revenue Miles by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-5	Passenger Trips per Revenue Mile, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-6	Annual Operating Expense by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-7	Operating Expense per Passenger Trip by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-8	Operating Expense per Revenue Hour by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-9	Annual Farebox Revenue by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-10	Average Fare by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 5-11	Farebox Recovery Ratio by Agency, 2012-2017	
Figure 6-1	lowa City Area Public Transit System Map	
Figure 6-2	Average Weekday Boardings by Route, Fall 2019	
Figure 6-3	Average Weekday Boardings per Service Hour, Fall 2019	
Figure 6-10	Average Cost per Weekday Passenger Trip on Iowa City Transit Routes	
Figure 6-4	lowa City Area Average Weekday Boardings, Fall 2019	
Figure 6-5	University of Iowa/Downtown Average Weekday Boardings, Fall 2019	
Figure 6-6	CAMBUS Average Weekday Boardings	
Figure 6-7	CAMBUS Average Weekday Boardings, Downtown/University of Iowa Area	
Figure 6-8	Coralville Transit Average Weekday Boardings	
Figure 6-9	lowa City Transit Average Weekday Boardings	
Figure 7-1	Scenario 1 System Map and Highlights	
Figure 7-2	Scenario 2 System Map and Highlights	
Figure 7-3	Scenario 3 System Map and Highlights	
Figure 8-1	CAMBUS Transit Route Respondent was Riding (n=1,418)	
Figure 8-2	Percent of Respondents Transferring to or From Another Route (n=1,425)	
Figure 8-3	Reported Transfer Activity (to or from) by Route (n=1,425)	
Figure 8-4	Respondent Trip Type (n=1,314)	
Figure 8-5	Respondent Alternative Mode of Transportation (n=1,403)	
Figure 8-6	Respondent Time Riding CAMBUS (n=1,386)	
Figure 8-7	Respondent Source for Schedule/Real-Time Information (n =1,328)	
Figure 8-8	Respondent Transit Used in Past Month (n=1,334)	
Figure 8-9	Respondent University Affiliation (n=1,399)	
Figure 8-10	Respondent Race/Ethnicity (n=999)	
Figure 8-11	Respondent Annual Household Income (n=1,278)	
Figure 8-12	Respondent Age (n=1,384)	
Figure 8-13	Respondent Household Size (n=1,376)	
Figure 8-14	Vehicles in Respondent Household (n=1,370)	
Figure 8-15	Respondent Improvements Desired (n=1,366)	
Figure 8-16	Coralville Transit Route Respondent was Riding (n=379)	



Figure 8-1 <i>7</i>	Percent of Respondents Transferring to or From Another Route (n=394)	8-14
Figure 8-18	Top Transfers made by Respondents (n=394)	8-15
Figure 8-19	Respondent Trip Type (n=1,288)	8-16
Figure 8-20	Respondent Fare Type for Current Trip (n=394)	8-16
Figure 8-21	Respondent Alternative Mode of Transportation (n=392)	8-17
Figure 8-22	Respondent Time Riding Coralville Transit (n=390)	8-17
Figure 8-23	Respondent Source for Schedule/Real-Time Information (n=384)	8-18
Figure 8-24	Respondent Transit Used in Past Month (n=381)	8-18
Figure 8-25	Respondent University Affiliation (n=385)	8-19
Figure 8-26	Respondent Race/Ethnicity (n=384)	
Figure 8-27	Respondent Annual Household Income (n=360)	8-20
Figure 8-28	Respondent Age (n=382)	8-20
Figure 8-29	Respondent Household Size (n=384)	8-21
Figure 8-30	Vehicles in Respondent Household (n=384)	
Figure 8-31	Respondent Top Improvements Desired (n=388)	8-22
Figure 8-32	lowa City Transit Route Respondent was Riding (n=913)	8-23
Figure 8-33	Percent of Respondents Transferring to or From Another Route (n=952)	8-24
Figure 8-34	Reported Transfer Activity (to or from) by Route (n=952)	8-25
Figure 8-35	Respondent Trip Type (n=859)	8-26
Figure 8-36	Respondent Fare Type Used for Current Trip (n=954)	8-26
Figure 8-37	Respondent Alternative Mode of Transportation (n=948)	8-27
Figure 8-38	Respondent Time Riding Iowa City Transit (n=936)	8-27
Figure 8-39	Respondent Source for Schedule/Real-Time Information (n=915)	8-28
Figure 8-40	Respondent Transit Used in Past Month (n=926)	8-28
Figure 8-41	Respondent University Affiliation (n=948)	8-29
Figure 8-42	Respondent Race/Ethnicity (n=979)	
Figure 8-43	Respondent Annual Household Income (n=867)	8-30
Figure 8-44	Respondent Age (n=933)	
Figure 8-45	Respondent Household Size (n=935)	8-31
Figure 8-46	Vehicles in Respondent Household (n=933)	8-31
Figure 8-47	Respondent Top Improvements Desired (n=934)	8-32
Figure 8-48	DYOS Transit Improvement Options and Categories	
Figure 8-49	Most-Frequently Used Transit System (n=1,245)	8-34
Figure 8-50	Frequency of Transit Use (n=1,206)	8-35
Figure 8-51	University Affiliation (n=1,235)	8-35
Figure 8-52	Residence Location (n=1,238)	8-36
Figure 8-53	Race/Ethnicity (n=1,260)	8-36
Figure 8-54	Household Size (n=1,226)	8-37
Figure 8-55	Household Income (n=1,184)	8-37
Figure 8-56	Desired Improvements (n=1,325)	8-38
Figure 8-57	Desired Improvements by System used by Respondent	8-39
Figure 8-58	Desired Improvements by Respondent Frequency of Transit Use	8-40
Figure 8-59	Desired Improvements by Respondent University Affiliation	8-41
Figure 8-60	Desired Improvements by Respondent Residence	8-42
Figure 8-61	November 14, 2019 Coralville Open House	8-44



Figure 8-62	November 13, 2019 Iowa City Open House	8-45
Figure 8-63	November 12, 2019 University of Iowa Open House	8-46
Figure 8-64	Stakeholder Meeting List and Schedule	8-48
Figure 8-65	January 30, 2020 Coralville Open House	8-53
Figure 8-66	January 28, 2020 Iowa City Open House	8-54
Figure 8-67	January 29, 2020 University of Iowa Open House	8-55
Figure 8-68	Respondent Opinion on Service Scenario	8-57
Figure 9-1	CAMBUS Preferred Alternative System Map	9-2
Figure 9-2	CAMBUS Preferred Alternative Service Summary	9-3
Figure 9-3	Coralville Transit Preferred Alternative System Map	9-9
Figure 9-4	Coralville Transit Preferred Alternative Service Summary	
Figure 9-5	Iowa City Transit Preferred Alternative System Map	9-14
Figure 9-6	Iowa City Transit Preferred Alternative Service Summary	9-15
Figure 10-1	CAMBUS and Iowa City Transit Bus Stop Sign Designs	10-2
Figure 10-2	Best Practice Single-Route Bus Stop Sign in Chicago	10-3
Figure 10-3	Riders Wait for Buses without Shelter at the Pentacrest	10-4
Figure 10-4	Bus Shelters in Historic Environments	
Figure 10-5	Real-Time Bus Arrival Information at University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign	10-6
Figure 10-6	Stops Spaced Approximately 500 Feet Apart on W Benton Street	10-7
Figure 10-7	Bus Stop without Adequate Pedestrian Infrastructure on Oakcrest Street	10-8
Figure 10-8	Buses in Mixed Traffic Climbing the Jefferson Street Hill at the Pentacrest	10-9
Figure 10-9	Lagging Protected Right Turn Phase in Seattle, WA	10-11
Figure 10-10	Pentacrest Downtown Interchange Potential Signal Improvement Locations	10-12
Figure 10-11	Hawkins Road at Highway 6 Potential Queue Jump	10-13
Figure 10-12	Primary Differences in Maintenance between Diesel Buses and BEBs by System	n
	Category	10-16
Figure 10-13	Charging Infrastructure Summary Table	10-18
-	BEB Charging Methods	
Figure 10-1 <i>5</i>	Charging Infrastructure Element Approximate Cost Range	10-20
Figure 10-16	Charging Infrastructure Cost Variables	10-20
Figure 10-17	Select FCEB Fleet Fueling Station Characteristics	10-22
Figure 10-18	Minimum Separation Distances Guidelines for Hydrogen Stations (NFPA 2)	10-23
Figure 11-1	Vision for Transit Summary Table	11-2
Figure 11-2	Cost Estimates by Agency for 15-Minute Service on Multiple Corridors	11-3
Figure 11-3	Cost Estimates by Agency for Sunday Service	11-4
Figure 11-4	Cost Estimates by Agency for Improved Saturday Service	11-5
Figure 11-5	Cost Estimates by Agency for Late-Night Weekday Service	11-6
Figure 11-6	$\label{thm:continuous} \mbox{ Vision for Transit One-Time Capital and Annual Operating Cost Estimates by }$	
	Agency	11-8



Appendix A – Ridership Maps

Appendix B – Route Profiles

Appendix C – Survey Instruments

Appendix D – Fare Study